


PLATE 1
Screening Soil at the Dragon Run
North B Site (7NC-G-104)



out on the ground. The size of excavation units may also vary. For prehistoric sites the standard unit is a one by one meter square. For historical sites three or five foot squares are excavated. On historical sites the squares can often be placed in relation to known structures on the site, but on prehistoric sites there is seldom any surface expression of the underlying material or features.

In most cases a plow zone is encountered and treated as one level. Any material below the plow zone, barring any other disturbance, is in primary context where it was deposited by the occupants of the site. Features may also be exposed below the plow zone. Features are excavated independently of surrounding material. Where conditions are favorable, special samples for flotation, radiocarbon, or soil chemical analysis are taken. Cultural features found below the

plow zone, or in other undisturbed contexts, are the best evidence of site integrity and possible significance.

RESULTS OF PHASE II TESTING

Nine sites, eight prehistoric and one historical (Table 3), were recommended for testing after the Phase I survey of this segment of the State Route 1 Corridor (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989). The testing results are grouped into three sets:

- 1) four prehistoric sites that produced little further material or information during the Phase II testing (Small, Low-Density Sites);
- 2) two prehistoric sites that produced substantial amounts of cultural material, but were not considered eligible for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places (Larger, Higher-Density Sites); and
- 3) two prehistoric sites and one historical site with substantial and intact deposits of cultural material which made them eligible for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places (Significant Sites).



TABLE 3
Archaeological Sites Subject to Phase II Testing

Site Number	C.R.S. Number	Site Name
<u>Small, low-density sites</u>		
7NC-E-93	N-12119	Conrail South A Prehistoric site
7NC-E-92	N-12118	Conrail South B Prehistoric site
7NC-G-103	N-12125	Dragon Run North A Prehistoric site
7NC-G-102	N-12124	Weaver Prehistoric site
<u>Larger, higher-density sites</u>		
7NC-G-100	N-12116	Parkway Gravel Prehistoric site
7NC-G-104	N-12126	Dragon Run North B Prehistoric site
<u>Significant Sites</u>		
7NC-G-105	N-12127	Wrangle Hill South Prehistoric site
7NC-G-101	N-12117	Snapp Prehistoric site
7NC-E-98	N-5053	Woodville Farm Historical site
See Figure 2 for the site locations. Sites are listed in the order in which they are discussed. Note that the Woodville Farm site was referred to as the Smith Historical site in the Phase I Survey report (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989).		


The Phase II research at each site is described individually below, followed by a discussion and interpretation of the results as a whole, and finally by recommendations for further research.

SMALL, LOW-DENSITY SITES

Conrail South Prehistoric Sites

A pedestrian survey of the proposed highway right-of-way immediately south of the Conrail tracks found two concentrations of prehistoric artifacts which were designated as the Conrail South A (7NC-E-93) and Conrail South B (7NC-E-92) sites (Figure 4). Site 7NC-E-93 is a low-density scatter of artifacts located on a low knoll bounded by a series of ephemeral drainages (Figure 5). The Phase I surface collection from the site recovered three Woodland I type points of quartzite and jasper (Plate 2), waste flakes of quartzite and jasper, and fire-cracked rock (FCR) (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989:63,99).

FIGURE 4
Location and Setting of the Conrail South Sites A (7NC-E-93)
and B (7NC-E-92)




The Conrail South B Prehistoric site, 7NC-E-92, lies on the northeast slope of a low knoll surrounded by ephemeral drainages within the highway right-of-way just north of site 7NC-E-93 (Figure 6). Artifacts collected during Phase I survey of the plowed field consist of one chert flake, one quartz core, and six fragments of fire-cracked rock (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989:63,100).

Conrail South A: Sixteen 1x1 m square units were placed along a line running north-south across the knoll identified as the location of site 7NC-E-93. Units were placed at 15 meter intervals (Figure 5). No prehistoric cultural material was recovered in any of the 1x1 m units. The depth of the plow zone varied systematically across the knoll. In the area of the ephemeral drainages the plow zone ranged up to 25 cm in depth, but on the knoll was only 10 cm thick indicating significant soil erosion on the site. Excavations extended 10-20 cm below the plow zone in all sixteen units. Two soil discolorations were found below the plow zone, but further investigation determined that they were noncultural and contained no artifacts.

Two grids of shovel test pits were excavated where artifact density was greatest in the Phase I survey. To the south, 15 shovel test pits spaced 10 meters apart found two possible features. The shovel test pits containing the features were converted into 1x1 m units. The features appeared to

FIGURE 5
Conrail South A Site
(7NC-E-93) Phase II Testing



shovel test pits had been excavated adjacent to the Conrail tracks during the Phase I Survey in search of a historical structure mentioned in documents (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989:64). No


be part of a ditch buried by slope wash from the knoll. Another line of 7 shovel test pits excavated at an angle to the grid confirmed the presence of a historical ditch. The second array of 12 shovel test pits was excavated to the north, again with negative results.

The total collection of prehistoric artifacts from the site resulted from the Phase I surface collection of the plowed field (see Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989:99). No cultural material was recovered in the Phase II excavations. The excavations revealed that agricultural activities have substantially modified the original topography of the area. Topographic highs have been eroded and lower areas filled in. The prehistoric occupation of the site occurred during the Woodland I Period based on artifact typology (see Plate 2), and the site probably resulted from short-term occupation during a hunting and/or gathering foray.

Conrail South B: Site 7NC-E-92 was tested by excavating a grid of shovel test pits across the site area within the highway right-of-way (Figure 6). Twenty-one

PLATE 2

Prehistoric Artifacts from the Conrail South A Site (7NC-E-93)



A: Mid-section of a white, quartzite stemmed biface. B: White quartz, Woodland II triangle. C: Tan and light gray jasper, side-notched projectile point; the right corner is broken--probably a Woodland I age fishtail type.

cultural material, historical or prehistoric, was found in those excavations. The Phase II excavations on the Conrail South B Prehistoric site were placed across an ephemeral drainage and included two topographic high points. No prehistoric artifacts were recovered in the 74 shovel test pits excavated. Plow zone depths varied as on the Conrail South A site (7NC-E-93) to the south. No features were encountered.

A short-term, limited-activity use of the locality left only a meager archaeological record. It may be that the small knolls were suitable camping spots for short trips away from a larger campsite where the majority of cultural activities took place. The lack of subsurface features and low density of artifacts at both sites suggest only cooking and tool resharpening.

Dragon Run North A Prehistoric Site

Site 7NC-G-103 was identified in a pedestrian survey of plowed fields within the highway right-of-way (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989:58). The site is located on a pronounced knoll and extends west and around the head of an ephemeral drainage that is an unnamed tributary of Dragon Creek (Figure 7). Prehistoric artifacts that identified the site's presence consisted of fire-cracked




FIGURE 6
Conrail South B Site (7NC-E-92) Phase II Testing





FIGURE 7
Dragon Run North A Site (7NC-G-103) Phase II Testing




rock, quartz flakes, quartz cores, and an anvil or nutting stone. Most of the artifacts were within a 50x100 ft area on the crest of a knoll.

A 10 meter grid of 1x1 m units was placed within the highway right-of-way adjacent to the crest of the knoll during Phase II investigations of the site (Figure 7). The area slopes to the west away from the knoll and the tributary of Dragon Run. Prehistoric artifacts were recovered from the plow zone in five of the 17 units excavated. Six flakes, two utilized flakes, two fragments of fire-cracked rock and one biface were recovered. The biface is a stemmed, Woodland I type made from a chert flake.

Based on the artifacts collected in the Phase I survey and those few added during the Phase II (see Appendix I), it is difficult to draw any conclusions about the occupation of this site. People

FIGURE 8
Weaver Site (7NC-G-102) Phase II Testing



used the locality during the Woodland I time period, probably as a temporary campsite while hunting and gathering.

Apparently the highway will not impact the main part of this site located on the crest of the knoll. Excavations show that no intact cultural remains exist in the highway right-of-way. The artifacts recovered from the plow zone may have eroded down slope from the knoll.

Weaver Prehistoric Site

A walking survey of a cultivated field identified the Weaver Prehistoric site, 7NC-G-102 (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989:53). Four utilized quartz flakes, one quartz biface fragment, one chert core, and one quartz tool, possibly a broken drill, were collected. Fire-cracked rock was also observed. The site is located 350 feet south of County Road 409 above a small, unnamed stream draining south into the St. Georges River (C&D Canal). A long broad slope leads to a low knoll northeast of the site (Figure 8).

Phase II investigations of the site entailed excavation of 29 shovel test pits in three transects crisscrossing the site (Figure 8). Only one quartz flake was recovered. Again a short-term, limited-activity occupation disturbed by plowing is indicated. The occupation probably occurred during the Woodland Period.

Discussion

The four small sites discussed above yielded very few artifacts or other cultural information. However, such sites are very common in the region (Custer 1989:200,216,324-325); therefore, they represent a significant portion of the archaeological record. Perhaps the best information they supply is locational as they show how prehistoric cultures used the landscape. This issue will be considered in more depth later.

Several methods were used to investigate the sites — surface collections, shovel test pits, and 1x1 m excavation units. For these four small sites located in plowed fields, surface collections yielded the majority of the information. Excavations revealed the lack of intact stratigraphy on the sites and showed how sediments had been redistributed by plowing and soil erosion, but failed to recover additional artifacts for analysis. The core areas of the sites were intensively tested and yielded similar results. The ephemeral nature of these sites mirrors the ephemeral nature of the drainages and landform features with which they are associated.

Excavation of shovel test pits yielded the same information as the more extensive, time consuming excavation of 1x1 m units. Although 1x1 m units sample a greater volume of sediments and expose a clear view of the plow zone/subsoil interface, the returns do not appear to justify the added effort and expense of their excavation. Surface collection alone cannot be relied upon to assess this type of archaeological site, however.

In wooded areas where the ground surface is not exposed, as in plowed fields, shovel test pits and/or 1x1 m unit excavations might not be effective at locating low-artifact density sites. Land-use patterns for this type of site are probably skewed towards areas now under cultivation. The potential bias may not be serious because of the extent of cultivation in the region.

LARGER, HIGHER-DENSITY SITES

Parkway Gravel Prehistoric Site

Site 7NC-G-100 is on the edge of a knoll on the north side of Scott Run between an ephemeral drainage and existing Route 13 (Figure 9). In fact, Route 13 was cut through the knoll on which the site is located. Artifacts recovered in a pedestrian survey were a quartz core, two chert cores, a utilized chert flake, a straight-stemmed, chert projectile point fragment, and a gunflint (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989:42-45). More than 50 fire-cracked rock fragments were observed on the site. Three shovel test pits excavated in the wooded area adjacent to the floodplain

FIGURE 9
Parkway Gravel Site (7NC-G-100) Phase II Testing





FIGURE 10
Parkway Gravel Site
(7NC-G-100) Flake Distribution



of the ephemeral drainage recovered one jasper flake. The wooded area was thought to be unplowed, and thus had the potential for undisturbed archaeological deposits.


Forty-five 1x1 m units were excavated during the Phase II testing of the site. A substantial amount of material was recovered including 331 flakes (Figure 10), 357 fire-cracked rock fragments, 8 cores, 12 biface rejects, 11 flake tools, and 19 utilized flakes (Table 4 and Appendix I). In addition three stemmed, Woodland I type, projectile point bases, and one other biface fragment were found (Plate 3). The majority of this material was found in the plow zone. One unit was placed in the wooded section of the site, but only one quartz flake and one fragment of fire-cracked rock were recovered.

The soils and sediments on the site changed from the top of the knoll down to the stream (Figure 11). On top of the knoll the plow zone sediments were loams and sandy loams without appreciable gravel even at depth. Down

slope gravels became more prevalent and larger in a sandy matrix, until the toe of the slope was reached in the wooded area. In the woods, sediments were silty clays with some sand and gravel. A buried plow zone was encountered in Unit N5W36 excavated in the woods. The wooded terrace extended another 5 meters to the west of unit N5W36 where a short scarp (0.3-0.6 m high) gave way to another 6 meter wide terrace next to the stream. The slopes of the knoll are severely eroded. Sediments have accumulated in the woods where historical cultural material was recovered up to 50 cm deep. The woods had been plowed in the past, probably all the way to the creek, which has since incised a channel and established a narrow flood plain.

PLATE 3

Prehistoric Artifacts from the
Parkway Gravel Site (7NC-G-100)



A total of 29 features were cataloged on the site. Most of these were found in the line of units closest to Route 13, and apparently represented a fence along the road or road sign posts. All other features, except for Feature 8, contained no cultural material and were classified as natural soil disturbances. No features were found on the gravelly slope of the knoll to the west.

Feature 8 was a concentration of 45 fire-reddened and fire-cracked cobbles in a pit (Plate 4). The stones were mapped and photographed as they were excavated in a series of layers (Plate 5). The stones averaged 1419 grams with a standard deviation of 1066 grams (see Appendix I). Most of the stones are quartzite cobbles. Initially a soil stain was seen when the feature was identified as a cluster of rocks at the base of the plow zone. The stain disappeared as the stones were removed and the excavators felt that the stain was actually a plow zone remnant in the feature depression. The feature pit was circular, 50 cm in diameter, with a maximum depth of 59 cm (39 cm below the base of the plow zone). At the base of the pit was a Woodland I stemmed point made of jasper (Plate 3).

Most of the artifacts recovered on the site were confined to a semicircular area

bordered on the east by Route 13. Over 67 percent of all cultural material was recovered within a 620 square meter area. None of the test units outside of this area had artifacts below the plow zone. The twenty-six units that fell within the core area of the site constitute a 0.42 percent sample of the area. Feature 8 was in the northern half of the core site area. The construction of present Route 13 probably destroyed at least half of the site.




TABLE 4
Parkway Gravel Site (7NC-G-100)
Total Prehistoric Artifact Counts


	Quartzite	Quartz	Chert	Jasper	Argillite	Ironstone	Chalcedony	Other	Total
Flakes	96(13)	82(18)	43(14)	76(30)	1	12	20(1)	1	331(76)
Utilized flakes	1(1)	6(1)	2(1)	6(3)	--	4(2)	--	--	19(8)
Flake tools	--	4(3)	--	6(2)	--	--	1	--	11(5)
Woodland I points	--	--	1	1	1	--	--	--	3
Early stage biface reject	--	3(3)	1	2(2)	--	--	1(1)	--	7(6)
Late stage biface reject	--	--	--	3(1)	--	1	--	--	4(1)
Other bifaces	1	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	2
Miscellaneous stone tools	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2
Shatter	--	77(4)	1	--	--	--	--	--	78(4)
Cores	--	5(1)	2	1(1)	--	--	--	--	8(2)
Total	100(14)	178(30)	50(15)	95(39)	2	17(2)	22(2)	1	465(102)

(#) = # with cortex

Fire-cracked rock (count/ weight) : 357/ 22177.0 g

Other: 3 gunflints
3 fragments of flaked glass


FIGURE 11
Stratigraphic Profile of the Parkway Gravel Site (7NC-G-100)



Artifacts were recovered from the upper two strata of the site. The yellow-brown sandy loam did not yield any artifacts during excavation.

PLATE 4

Steaming Pit (Feature 8) at the Parkway Gravel Site (7NC-G-100)




The four photographs show the sequence of excavation and configuration of fire-reddened quartzite cobbles in the feature pit. The Woodland I projectile point, labeled A in Plate 3, was under the last stone removed from Level 4.

A variety of lithic materials were worked on the site (Table 4). Quartzite, quartz, and jasper dominated the assemblage. Note that only 3.6 percent of the flakes were ironstone. Evidence of cobble cortex was seen on 23.6 percent of the flakes. A random sample of 100 flakes was selected for flake attribute analysis following the methods described in Custer and Lowery



PLATE 5

Mapping Feature 8 at the
Parkway Gravel Site (7NC-G-100)



(1990). The results are summarized in Table 5, and data are listed in Appendix II. The flake analysis shows that cobble reduction was taking place at the Parkway Gravel site. Traces of cortex were found on 37 percent of the flakes analyzed. The number of remnant flake scars on each flake — averaging 2.21 — suggests more than just rough shaping of blanks from cobble cores. However, the directions count does not reflect reduction into completed bifaces.

Re-examination of the historical material recovered from the Phase I and II collections from the site while analyzing the prehistoric tools turned up three pieces of blown bottle glass with evidence of flaking (Figure 12 and Plates 6 & 7). The glass is dark green with a weathered patina. Two of the pushed-up bases were formed on “sand” pontils (Jones 1991). The other very steep push-up was formed on a glass pontil and a trace of dark blue glass was left on the base. One base is from a square bottle with a nearly flat bottom and could

be from a form that was common prior to the mid-seventeenth century (Noel-Hume 1978:62). The other two bases may date to the early eighteenth century, but all could be later and it is difficult to determine the age of these fragments (George Miller, personal communication).

Flaking occurs on all three bottle bases. On the flat-based fragment retouch occurs along a 3.2 cm long section running from the edge of the base towards the center and forms a small spur (see Appendix III for full descriptions). Other flakes occur somewhat randomly on the piece. All flake scars are patinated and weathered. The other two bottle bases do not show clear working edges, but have some possible purposeful flaking. One piece has a series of flakes forming a steep angled edge; however, the flakes are fresh and are probably due to plow or other post-depositional damage.



TABLE 5
Summary Statistics for Flake Attribute Analysis


Parkway Gravel Site 7NC-G-100				
	Number of Directions	Number of Scars	Size Class	Size of mm Class
Minimum	0	0	1	1
Maximum	4	7	5	9
Range	4	7	4	8
Mean	1.79	2.21	2.67	3.81
Median	2.00	2.00	3.00	4.00
Variance	1.00	1.74	0.71	3.13
Standard deviation	1.00	1.32	0.84	1.77
Standard error	0.10	0.13	0.08	0.18
Skewness	0.06	0.75	0.89	0.95
Kurtosis	-0.92	1.43	0.55	0.70
Coefficient of variation	0.56	0.60	0.32	0.46

Dragon Run North B Site 7NC-G-104				
	Number of Directions	Number of Scars	Size Class	Size of mm Class
Minimum	0	0	2	2
Maximum	5	5	5	9
Range	5	5	3	7
Mean	1.59	1.81	2.95	4.36
Median	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00
Variance	0.79	1.10	0.63	2.72
Standard deviation	0.89	1.05	0.80	1.65
Standard error	0.09	0.11	0.08	0.16
Skewness	0.81	0.65	0.57	0.57
Kurtosis	1.27	0.32	-0.06	0.00
Coefficient of variation	0.56	0.58	0.27	0.38

Based on a sample of 100 flakes from each site. See Appendix B for raw data and key to variable coding.

Other evidence suggesting a Contact Period occupation of the site are a gunflint found during the Phase I survey, and two other possible gunflints recovered during Phase II (Plate 6). The gunflint recovered in the Phase I surface collection is mottled, light gray, burnt English flint with a shallow concavity battered on one side. Of the possible gunflints found during Phase II excavations one is made of quartz and the other is a battered pebble, possibly of local chert or jasper (Appendix III).

FIGURE 12
Flaked Bottle Glass from the
Parkway Gravel Site (7NC-G-100)



Only bottle bottom A (top) has clear evidence of purposeful flaking. The flakes themselves are weathered like the rest of the glass fragment. Bottle bottom B has only a few random flakes. The flakes on bottle bottom C are unweathered and clearly not old.

An analysis of all historical artifacts and debris collected from the site in both Phase I and II research identified two distinct assemblages. Most of the glass from the site dated from the late nineteenth and twentieth centuries. In addition to the three dark green bottle bases described earlier, two other pieces of glass date to the eighteenth century. The majority of the historical ceramic artifacts from the site were redwares which are not diagnostic of any particular time period. However, seven sherds date to the eighteenth century — two fragments of Chinese porcelain, four scratch-blue, white salt-glazed stoneware sherds, and one fragment of tin-glazed ceramics. The tin-glazed fragment could date from the seventeenth century. The dark green bottle glass with possible flaking could be contemporaneous with the eighteenth-century material from the site. Hence, an occupation in the first half of the eighteenth century is indicated.

PLATE 6

Possible Contact Period Artifacts from the Parkway Gravel Site (7NC-G-100)






PLATE 7



Close-Up of Flaking on Case Bottle Bottom from the Parkway Gravel Site (7NC-G-100)



Discussion: The Parkway Gravel site (7NC-G-100), although covering about the same area as the first four sites discussed above — roughly 1000 square meters, contained approximately 35-50 times more cultural material. In addition, subsurface features were constructed representing a more substantial investment in time and energy

on the site. Feature 8, the pit filled with heat-altered cobbles, may have been used for cooking or for a steam source for a sweat lodge, perhaps in a curing ceremony or other ritual. More than 40 stones, some weighing as much as nine pounds, were transported from somewhere off the site to fill the pit. Activities conducted on the site included tool manufacture and maintenance. Raw





materials were probably taken from local stream gravels — perhaps from the same source as the quartzite cobbles that dominate Feature 8. Quartzite flakes constitute 29 percent of the total flake collection from the site.

The site was either used by a larger group of people than the other small sites described earlier, or visited more frequently and/or repeatedly over a span of time. The site's location on Scott Run provided access to riverine, upland, marsh, and possibly brackish water environments nearby in the St. Georges River. The site may have been a staging area from which smaller groups set out on hunting and gathering forays. The presence of a single projectile point at the base of a possible sweat lodge feature could indicate ceremonialism associated with hunting trips either to bring luck or celebrate success.


The flaked glass and gunflints suggest an aboriginal occupation in the early eighteenth century. The first land grants in St. Georges Hundred were made in 1646 by William Kieft, the Dutch Governor. The land on which the site occurs may have been part of a grant to Peter Alrichs before 1682 (Scharf 1888:982). In 1683 there were 50 taxable citizens, representing approximately 250 people in St. Georges Hundred (Scharf 1888:982). The town of St. Georges, originally known as Quinquenium — an Indian name, was settled sometime before 1700, but the exact date is not known (Scharf 1888:967-969). A road was ordered built in 1675 between New Castle and Odessa crossing at St. Georges River. The inhabitants of the area were supposed to build the road but apparently no action was taken until after 1679 when the route was broken into sections and overseers assigned. The inhabitants of St. Georges were assigned to the section north to Red Lion under James Crawford (Scharf 1888:414). Scharf (1888:983) relates that the Dilworth house located about a mile and a half west of Port Penn, “was built at a time when protection from the Indians was a necessity.” The house stands on land first surveyed in 1675 (Scharf 1888:982). Herman (1987:16) dates the Dilworth house to about 1714, but makes no mention of “loop holes” or gun ports. Documented historical occupation along Scott Run began in the mid-eighteenth century, but Europeans may have been living in the area somewhat earlier (Dave Grettler, personal communication). The dark green bottle glass may have been collected or traded for at another location and carried to the site to be recycled as lithic raw material. Unfortunately, present Route 13 destroyed the eastern half of the site, and plowing and soil erosion destroyed the context of what remains. A larger sample of the plow zone may have yielded more possible Contact Period material, however, the low density and questionable nature of the artifacts did not warrant additional effort. Further field research is not recommended for the site.

Dragon Run North B Prehistoric Site

Site 7NC-G-104 is 300 meters north of the Dragon Run North A site (7NC-G-103) on the next small knoll above a tributary of Dragon Run Creek (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989:57-59). Prehistoric artifacts were observed in the plowed field to the west and were discovered in 3

FIGURE 13

Location and Setting of the Dragon Run North B Site (7NC-G-104) and Wrangle Hill South Site (7NC-G-105)



of the 13 Phase I shovel test pits in the wooded area next to the bluff overlooking the drainage (Figure 13). Soil profiles in the shovel test pits suggested that a portion of the wooded area had never been plowed. No age could be assigned to the site based on the results of the Phase I work.

Phase II investigations concentrated on the wooded portion of the site where undisturbed contexts were anticipated. A total of 51 square meters were excavated — 48 individual 1x1 m squares plus one square expanded into a 2x2 m unit (Figure 14). The majority of the units were placed in the wooded portion of the site. Based on artifact recovery, the core area of the site covered a roughly triangular area, 40 meters long by a maximum of 20 meters wide (see Figure 14 & 15). Seventeen excavation units fell within this area including the 2x2 m unit.

FIGURE 14

Dragon Run North B Site (7NC-G-104) Phase II Testing





FIGURE 15
Debitage Distribution at the
Dragon Run North B Site
(7NC-G-104)




of fire-cracked rock were recovered from the site. The 9 bifaces included 4 Woodland I projectile points and 1 possible Woodland II triangle (Plate 8). The broken Woodland II triangle is made

Two features were uncovered. Feature 1 in unit N29W10 was a pit with historical debris. Feature 2 was at the southern end of the core area of the site, and was first seen in Level 4 of unit S10E10. This unit was expanded into a 2x2 m square to uncover the whole feature. The feature was a pit 180 cm in diameter with sloping sides and a flat bottom 145 cm below the surface (Figure 16). The feature fill, a brownish-yellow sandy silt with charcoal flecks, contrasted sharply with the surrounding orange-yellow clayey sand and gravel. Artifacts from within the feature included fire-cracked rock, flakes of a variety of materials, and a quartzite early-stage biface reject. A subtle stratigraphy in the feature suggests that the pit was left open after use and filled first when gravelly sediments from the sterile subsoil forming the feature walls slumped. Later, silty material washed into the remaining depression. Artifacts and charcoal flecks were found only in the darker-colored silty sediments.

Ironstone comprised 89 percent of the waste flakes from stone tool manufacture or maintenance on the site (Table 6). A few other flakes of quartz (3.8%), chert (2.8%), jasper (1.8%), and argillite (1.3%) were found also. The tools recovered from excavations at the site included 12 utilized flakes, 4 flake tools, 9 bifaces, and a hammerstone (Table 6). Only 14 fragments

FIGURE 16
Feature 2, Dragon Run North B Site (7NC-G-104)



of quartz. Results of a flake attribute analysis for the site are summarized in Table 5; the data are given in Appendix II.

The occupation of the site occurred predominately during the Woodland I Period, but some use of the site took place in the later Woodland II Period. Activities on the site include stone tool manufacture and maintenance, as well as food procurement and processing. The overwhelming use of ironstone for tool manufacture and lack of complete tools in the collections from the site suggest that broken tools were being replaced. The three ironstone points were broken. One snapped in half during the final stage of manufacture and the other is missing a tip. The third snapped in half medially during use.

Discussion: The lithic raw materials used at the site contrast sharply with the Parkway Gravel site (7NC-G-100). Less than four percent of the flakes from Parkway Gravel are ironstone, yet the two sites are only 2.25 miles apart (as the crow flies). At Parkway Gravel, raw materials were available in the local gravels exposed in stream beds, and the diversity of material used

TABLE 6
Dragon Run North B (7NC-G-104)
Prehistoric Artifact Counts

	Quartzite	Quartz	Chert	Jasper	Rhyolite	Argillite	Ironstone	Total
Flakes	3(2)	15(3)	11(1)	7(2)	1	5	354(125)	396(133)
Utilized flakes	1	1	1	--	1	--	8(4)	12(4)
Flake tools	--	--	--	--	--	--	4(1)	4(1)
Woodland I points	1	--	--	1	--	--	2(1)	4(1)
Woodland II points	--	1	--	--	--	--	--	1
Early stage biface reject	1	--	--	--	--	--	1	2
Other bifaces	--	1	--	--	--	--	3(1)	4(1)
Shatter	--	3	--	--	--	--	--	3
Total	6(2)	21(3)	12(1)	8(2)	2	5	372(132)	426(140)
Fire-cracked rock (count/ weight) 14/ 1948.0 g				Ground stone tools: 1				
(#) = # with cortex				Prehistoric ceramics: 1 - Nassawango				

reflects the diversity of the gravels. Ward (1985) identified a quarry for fine-grained ironstone on Herring Island near the mouth of the Elk River, in northern Chesapeake Bay. The regional distribution of ironstone documented by Ward (1985) suggested that ironstone biface preforms were an item of trade in the upper Chesapeake and Delmarva Peninsula. Furthermore, ironstone biface forms seem limited to early Woodland stemmed points and broadspears. Breakage of ironstone bifaces at the Hawthorn site in New Castle County, Delaware suggests that ironstone was a preferred material for heavy chopping tools (Custer and Bachman 1984). The implications of ironstone use at the Dragon Run North B site will be discussed later.


The plow zone on the site averaged about 20 cm deep, but was variable ranging up to 35 cm deep in some units (Figure 17). The wooded area had been plowed in the past and so did not yield artifacts in undisturbed contexts as had been hoped. Further research is not recommended due to the agricultural disturbance on the site and the low number of prehistoric features.

SIGNIFICANT SITES

Three sites have been recommended for Phase III mitigation. As of this writing the projects have been completed and analysis has begun. The results of the Phase II research on the sites is summarized here, but detailed analyses are left for the final reports on the three sites.

PLATE 8

Prehistoric Artifacts from the
Dragon Run North B Site (7NC-G-104)





A



B



C



D



E



F



G



H



I





FIGURE 17

Typical Soil Profiles from the
Dragon Run North B Site (7NC-G-104)



Artifacts were found predominantly in the upper stratum of the site -- the plow zone. The top stratum of rotted detritus in square S0 E39 resulted from leaves and other debris on the forest floor.

Wrangle Hill South Prehistoric Site

Site 7NC-G-105 was identified in 18 shovel test pits excavated at the end of a broad slope to the scarp above the confluence of two ephemeral drainages (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989:59-62). Artifacts recovered during the Phase I investigations consisted of seven flakes from the plow zone of three shovel test pits. The tight cluster of artifacts and their location on low order streams suggested a procurement site.

Twenty-nine 1x1 m units were excavated to better define the site limits and explore the sediments of the site (Figure 18). Twenty-three of the squares contained artifacts in the first level excavated below the disturbed plow zone. Six of these also had artifacts in the second level below the plow zone. Three units were expanded into 2x2 m squares without screening the plow zone to expose features. Four features were defined. Features 1, 2, and 3 are prehistoric pits (Figure 19). Feature 4 is actually a lens of light-colored, silty fine sand that overlies the reddish sandy clay underlying the whole site.

FIGURE 18

Wrangle Hill South Site (7NC-G-105) Phase II Testing





FIGURE 19
Wrangle Hill South Site (7NC-G-105) Features




Feature 1 was a straight-sided pit, 1.1 m in diameter and 1.2 m deep with a rounded bottom. The medium brown, sandy clay fill was flecked with charcoal. Stone flakes, fire-cracked rock, ceramic sherds, and a hammerstone were found within the feature.

A shallow, roughly circular pit approximately 1 m in diameter was designated Feature 2. The feature fill was yellowish-brown silty sand with charcoal flecks. The feature contained three flakes, one flake tool, and one weathered argillite biface. A rodent disturbance intruded into the feature from the west.

Another pit, Feature 3, was a straight-sided oval measuring 1.25 x 0.55 m (Figure 19). The pit extended 50 cm below the plow zone and was flat bottomed. The fill was brown, clayey sand with abundant gravel and some charcoal. A large fragment of fire-cracked rock and burnt soil was encountered at the base of the plow zone at the northwest end of the feature stain. Only one quartzite core was found in the feature.

Feature 4 was a 20-30 cm thick lens of yellow-brown silty clay with some gray mottling of organic matter. The soil covered the entire floor of Unit N36E24 in which it was first encountered. Shovel trenches were excavated through the plow zone in three directions (Figure

FIGURE 20
Debitage Distribution at the
Wrangle Hill South Site
(7NC-G-105)



18) to determine the extent of Feature 4. The silty clay soil is estimated to cover a 10-15 square meter area. The soil is distinctive in color and consistency from the underlying sediments which are mottled orange, compact silty clays. No cultural material was found within or beneath Feature 4.

Based on artifact density, the core of the site was defined as a diamond-shaped area of about 400 square meters (See Figure 20). Features 1-3 fell within this area, and Feature 4 occurred at the northern point of the diamond. Cultural material recovered from the site includes 167 flakes of a variety of material (5% ironstone), five bifaces, and six fragments of prehistoric ceramic artifacts (Table 7). The prevalence of ironstone among the lithic raw materials is unusual for the region as discussed previously. The bifaces are not distinctive—two ifaces are tips only, but all probably date to the Woodland I Period. five fragments of Nassawango ceramics and one possible Coulbourn sherd were recovered in Feature 1.

The occupation of the Wrangle Hill South site occurred predominately during the Woodland I Period based on the biface forms and ceramic artifacts. Nassawango ceramics are associated with the Delmarva Adena complex, and are probably transitional between earlier Wolfe Neck and later Coulbourn ceramics in southern and central Delaware (Custer 1989:166,173). The Delmarva Adena complex is distinctive for its burial ceremonialism and trade goods and is best known from burial sites (Custer 1989:256-275). Only a few Adena micro-band base camps are known from southern Delaware, and no Adena procurement sites are known on the Delmarva Peninsula (Custer 1989:256-258).

Another important characteristic of the Wrangle Hill South site is the density of subsurface features. In a 4 percent sample of the core area of the site three pit features were located. Therefore, a total of 70 features can be estimated within the 400 square meters of the core area ($1/0.04 \times 3 = 70.6$). This stands in stark contrast to the other six small prehistoric sites described earlier in this report.

A third significant characteristic of this site is the intact lens of soil designated Feature 4. Plowing on the site has not completely destroyed the original sedimentary sequence of the locality, thus the potential exists for undisturbed cultural deposits.

TABLE 7
Wrangle Hill South Site (7NC-G-105)
Total Prehistoric Artifact Counts

	Quartzite	Quartz	Chert	Jasper	Argillite	Ironstone	Chalcedony	Other	Total
Flakes	16(1)	18(2)	4	15	1	92	5	16(1)	167(4)
Utilized flakes	2	7(1)	--	2(1)	--	4	--	3(1)	18(3)
Flake tools	2	4	2	2(1)	--	1	--	2	13(1)
Woodland I points	--	--	--	1	--	1	--	--	2
Other bifaces	1	1	--	--	1	--	--	--	3
Miscellaneous stone tools	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	--	1
Shatter	--	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	1
Cores	2(1)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2(1)
Total	23(2)	31(3)	6	21(2)	2	98	5	21(2)	207(9)
(#) = # with cortex					Ground stone tools: 1 - possibly fire-cracked				
Prehistoric ceramics: 5- Nassawango 1- possible Coulbourn					Fire-cracked rock (count/ weight): 18/ 3576.0 g				


For the reasons listed above, the Wrangle Hill South site was recommended for further research, as it may be eligible for Nomination to the National Register of Historic Places under Criterion D. It is likely that the site will yield important information on the cultural history of the region, the distribution of Nassawango wares, and new information on Woodland I and Delmarva Adena lifeways in northern Delaware.

Snapp Prehistoric Site

The Snapp Prehistoric site, 7NC-G-101, was identified during pedestrian survey of a plowed field immediately south of the C&D Canal (Figure 21). Over 200 fire-cracked rock fragments were observed, and one quartz early-stage biface reject, one chert core, one hammerstone, and one ground stone tool fragment were collected (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989:46-48,98). Concentrations of the fire-cracked rock were observed in two areas separated by an ephemeral drainage across an area approximately 120x100 m. Although a time of occupation could not be assigned to the site, the large volume of fire-cracked rock suggested an intensive occupation.

The site is on a relatively flat terrace west and northwest of a small knoll. The occupation area is bounded by steep slopes above an intermittent stream and ephemeral drainages on the west and on the east. To the north of the site are three terraces, that may be natural terraces of St. Georges Creek, which was incorporated into the C&D Canal. The steep slopes and floodplains of the streams adjacent to the site are forested. The irregular topography of the cultivated field suggests only minor soil deflation and erosion.

FIGURE 21
Location and Setting of the
Snapp Prehistoric Site (7NC-G-101)



Phase II testing of the site conducted in 1991 consisted of 193 shovel test pits and 86 1x1 m test units (Figure 22). Twenty-three 1x1 m units were placed where shovel test pits had previously been excavated. Diagnostic artifacts of the Woodland I time period recovered are six stemmed and notched projectile points made of chert, argillite, and jasper. Other artifacts recovered include early and late-stage bifaces, flake tools, utilized flakes, debitage, and large amounts of fire-cracked rocks (Table 8). Fourteen features, or potential features, were also identified during Phase II testing (Figure 22). Three of the features were excavated, and they appeared to be prehistoric storage or refuse pits. One of the features produced a single sherd of

FIGURE 22
 Snapp Site (7NC-G-101) Phase II Testing




TABLE 8
Snapp Site (7NC-G-101)
Total Prehistoric Artifact Counts


	Quartzite	Quartz	Chert	Jasper	Rhyolite	Argillite	Ironstone	Chalcedony	Other	Total
Flakes	25(5)	74(9)	494(73)	311(62)	4	19	1	108(14)	4	1040(163)
Utilized flakes	1	2	3	10(2)	--	--	--	--	--	16(2)
Flake tools	--	--	1	1(1)	--	--	--	--	--	2(1)
Woodland I points	--	--	2	1	--	2	--	--	1	6
Woodland II points	--	--	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	1
Early stage biface reject	--	--	2	2(1)	--	--	--	--	--	4(1)
Late stage biface reject	--	--	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	1
Other bifaces	--	2	--	2	--	--	--	2	1	7
Shatter	2(1)	9(2)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	11(3)
Cores	--	2(1)	1(1)	1	--	--	--	--	--	4(2)
Totals	28(6)	89(12)	505(74)	328(66)	4	21	1	110(14)	6	1092(172)
(#) = # with cortex Fire-cracked rock (count/ weight) : 1122/ 59.87 kg										

Selden Island ceramics (1000 - 700 B.C.; Custer 1989:176), and another contained an argillite contracting-stem point. Other bifaces and debitage were also recovered from the features. Most of the artifacts recovered from the site were found in either the plow zone or in features, but some areas of intact, artifact bearing sediments were located in the wooded areas to the north and west of the site.

A total of 1,040 flakes of debitage were recovered (Figure 23). Chert was the most common raw material type (47.5% of the debitage, Table 8). Jasper was also common (29.9%). Chalcedony, quartz, and quartzite were used in lesser amounts (Table 8), and less than 0.1 percent of the flakes were ironstone (one flake). Tools were made of a variety of materials including all of the lithic materials represented by flakes except rhyolite and ironstone. Fire-cracked rock was abundant on the site amounting to almost 60 kg (Figure 23).

Site 7NC-G-101 has been tentatively identified as a macro-band base camp from the Clyde Farm Complex of the Woodland I Period. A number of macro-band base camps from this time period have been identified in Delaware (Custer 1984:93-112; 1989:193-221), but few of these sites have contained intact pit features. Prior studies of the early Woodland I Period have suggested that it was a time of pronounced change in many aspects of prehistoric lifeways, such as settlement patterns, subsistence activities, social organization, population growth, tool kits, and trade and exchange networks (Custer 1984, 1989).

FIGURE 23
 Snapp Site (7NC-G-101)
 Debitage and Fire-Cracked Rock Distributions



The Snapp prehistoric archaeological site is a significant cultural resource for several reasons. The site has produced a wide range of artifacts from the early Woodland I Period, some of which are from intact, unplowed soils. The site also contains pit features from the Woodland I time period that are likely to yield important information on prehistoric subsistence.

The presence of pit features at the Snapp site affords the possibility of recovering prehistoric food remains and other ecofacts that allow the study of subsistence patterns and resource availability. Information on the prehistoric environments surrounding the site and season of site occupation may also be obtained from floral and faunal material recovered from the features.

The presence of a wide range of stone tool types at the site suggests a variety of activities. The tools may be compared to those from both earlier and later sites in the area to better understand the changes in prehistoric tool kits. Analysis of the raw materials present would provide information on lithic procurement and tool manufacturing techniques. Non-local lithic raw materials, such as rhyolite and argillite, are present at the site, and may provide information on trade and exchange networks during the Woodland I Period. Recent research (Watson and Custer 1990) at Woodland I sites in Delaware and New Jersey suggests that people may have had larger wandering ranges, and may not have been as sedentary as previously thought. One reflection of this greater mobility involves the procurement of argillite. Although it is not present in great quantities at the Snapp site, its use there, coupled with information on the season(s) of occupation, may allow a better understanding of prehistoric settlement patterns.

The presence of intact, artifact-bearing soils presents the opportunity to identify undisturbed activity areas and living floors. Although the unplowed soils are limited in area, the magnitude of agricultural and construction activities in northern Delaware makes them a valuable resource. In sum, the Snapp prehistoric site is eligible to the National Register because it has the potential to yield significant data on a variety of research topics concerning the adaptations and lifeways of Woodland I people.

Woodville Farm Historical Site

The Woodville Farm site (7NC-E-98), referred to as the Smith site in the Phase I research report (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer 1989:74-79), is located in Red Lion Hundred approximately two miles north of St. Georges, east of present Route 13 (Figure 24). The site was recorded in 1979 by the Delaware Bureau of Archaeology and Historic Preservation as CRS No. N-5053 prior to the archaeological survey of the State Route 1 Corridor. Shortly afterwards, the house was demolished. The Phase I survey in June 1988 found the remains of the frame dwelling with two brick chimneys and a stone foundation in a thickly overgrown, fallow field and woodlot. Large trees marking old property lines around the house site were located as well. Shovel test pits excavated in the area contained whiteware, redware, stoneware, bottle and window glass, brick, nails and other post-1840 artifacts and debris (Hodny, Bachman, and Custer, 1989:73-79).

The site appears on nineteenth-century historical maps, including Rea and Price (1849), Beers (1868; see Figure 25), Hopkins (1881), and Baist (1893) as an owner-occupied farm,

FIGURE 24
Location of the Woodville Farm Site (7NC-E-98)






FIGURE 25
Detail of Beers' 1868 Atlas of New Castle County,
St. Georges Hundred



The "Woodville" Farm Site (7NC-E-98) is shown in the possession of B.F. Pennington off Road 697 in St. Georges Hundred. Red Lion Creek is the southern boundary of New Castle Hundred.

FIGURE 26
Results of Testing at Woodville Farm Site (7NC-G-98)



designated “Woodville” on Beers’ atlas. Phase II excavations were undertaken at the site in order to locate and assess cultural features associated with the house and farm.

Phase II testing consisted of excavation of 225 shovel tests and thirteen 3x3 ft squares. The excavations were aligned on a 20-ft grid oriented to the extant dwelling. Two areas of cultural remains or activities, Areas I and II, were identified (Figure 26). Area I, the core of the site and the primary locus of domestic activity, contained an artifact density of between 10 and 30 artifacts per shovel test. Area II was lower in artifact density (between 5 and 20 artifacts per shovel test) and lay east of Area I.

Diagnostic mid-to-late nineteenth and early twentieth-century artifacts were recovered from undisturbed contexts in the excavations to a depth of 3.0 ft below ground surface. A plow zone, approximately 1-ft thick, extended across part of the site. In addition to the remains of the house, 16 historical archaeological features were identified. These buried features included the

remains of a large (80x40 ft) barn east of the house foundation, several nineteenth and twentieth-century trash pits, a builder's trench associated with the house, a large cistern, and a wire fence.

Diagnostic mid-to-late nineteenth century and twentieth-century artifacts were recovered from intact subsoil contexts in both Areas I and II. Mid-to-late nineteenth-century annular pearlwares, transfer-printed whitewares, white granite wares, yellowwares, and coarse redwares were the most common ceramic artifacts found. The ceramic types are consistent with the known occupation of the site. Window glass, coal, cut and wire nails, molded bottle and Mason jar fragments, and brick fragments were also recovered (Table 9).

Historical documents indicate that the Woodville Farm site was a substantial farm owned by a succession of local families from ca. 1810 until the 1970s (Table 10). The farm first appears in a 1816 tax list for Red Lion Hundred. In that year, the Woodville Farm site consisted of 123 acres of land with a small dwelling and stable. Eighty acres of the farm had been cleared and improved and the remainder of the farm was woodland and swamp. The occupant of the site is uncertain. No tenant is listed, but the owner, Reverend Purnel Veach, was a minister in Christiana. Veach purchased the property from the heirs of Patrick Porter in two separate transactions in 1807 and 1809. Both of the deeds describe Reverend Veach as a resident of Red Lion Hundred suggesting that he may have commuted to Christiana for church services. Tax assessments taken in 1822 and 1828 give similar descriptions of the farm as in 1816, but do not list any tenants either. It seems likely that Reverend Veach did live on his farm.

Reverend Veach died intestate in 1829 and the New Castle County Orphan's Court awarded the property to his eldest son, William P. Veach. The first documentary evidence of the structure itself is the 1829 Orphan's court plat of the land. The Woodville Farm site is shown on a 142-acre parcel.

William Veach owned the land for less than three years when he sold it, in 1832, to John L. Shuster. William Veach probably operated his father's farm as a tenancy because the 1832 deed notes that he lived in New Castle Hundred at the time of the sale. John L. Shuster, however, clearly occupied the farm as owner until 1837 when John H. Fromberger bought the 142 acre farm and another 200 acres of nearby marsh. Fromberger lived in Wilmington when he purchased the property, but apparently moved to occupy the farm because he is listed as a resident of Red Lion Hundred when he sold the land later in 1837.

Ashbury L. Pennington of New Castle Hundred bought the property from Fromberger. Pennington is shown at the site on Rea and Price's 1849 map of New Castle County. When Ashbury Pennington died ca. 1868, the land was sold to his son, Benjamin F. Pennington. Ashbury Pennington apparently made substantial improvements to the property because when the land sold to Benjamin, the value of the farm had more than tripled from \$2800 in 1837 to \$10,000 in 1868.

The name "Woodville" first appears on Beers' 1868 atlas under ownership of the Penningtons. Named tracts are typically owner-occupied in central and northern Delaware.

TABLE 9
Summary of Woodville Farm Site (7NC-E-98) Artifacts

Glass	Area 1	Area 2	Outside	Totals
Bottle	203	52	63	318
Jar	12	0	13	25
Window	201	165	19	385
Table	16	2	1	19
Lamp	42	11	4	57
Milk	4	1	1	6
Mirror	6	0	0	6
Decorated	1	0	0	1
Other	6	2	0	8
Unidentifiable	216	40	18	274
Totals	707	273	119	1099
Metal	Area 1	Area 2	Outside	Totals
Wrought nails	18	0	0	18
Cut nails	337	14	16	367
Wire nails	260	23	21	304
Unidentified nails	404	29	61	494
Bolts	4	2	0	6
Staples	1	3	0	4
Screws	17	0	8	25
Slag	34	0	38	72
Ammunition	4	1	1	6
Tools	1	0	0	1
Coins	1	2	0	3
Tableware	2	0	0	2
Household utensils	6	1	0	7
Other	218	10	31	259
Unidentifiable	373	70	81	524
Totals	1680	155	258	2093
Ceramics	Area 1	Area 2	Outside	Totals
Smoking Pipes	1	0	1	2
Redware	181	4	34	219
Creamware	5	2	0	7
Pearlware	28	0	3	31
Whiteware	197	4	53	254
White granite	25	0	18	43
Yellowware	14	0	0	14
Salt-glazed stoneware	15	2	6	23
Tin-glazed	0	0	1	1
Porcelain	14	1	1	16
Drainpipe	4	0	4	8
Drain tile	4	1	0	5
Unidentifiable	3	1	0	4
Totals	491	15	121	627
Miscellaneous	Area 1	Area 2	Outside	Totals
Brick (grams)	7932	58	3150	11140
Glazed brick (grams)	500	0	2	502
Coal (count)	89	3	13	105
Coal (grams)	338	33	264	635
Coal ash (grams)	50	2	2	54
Mortar	56	66	4	126
Plaster	72	26	1	99
Other stone	17	2	0	19
Plastic	118	15	15	148
Buttons	2	0	4	6
Wood	5	1	0	6
Toys	3	0	0	3
Shell (count)	6	0	6	12
Shell (grams)	286	0	3	289
Bone	50	1	8	59
Other	21	2	13	36
Totals (count)	439	116	64	619
Totals (grams)	9106	93	3421	12620

Area 1 = Core area of site around the house
Area 2 = Area around the barn across drive from house
Outside = Excavations outside the above areas (see Figure 29)

Naming farms suggests the pride that farmers took in such highly-improved, successful farms. In 1852, Ashbury Pennington's farm was valued at \$8,935 making it one of the wealthier farms in the area. In that year, Pennington's farm consisted of 142 acres of land with frame buildings. Nearly all of the parcel (125 acres) was cleared and improved. The remaining acres were swamp along Red Lion Creek.

Benjamin F. Pennington sold Woodville in 1873 to William Bright of Wilmington after defaulting on a mortgage held by Bright. Bright was a real estate broker who was director of the Farmer's Bank of Wilmington. He was president of the Wilmington City Council in 1867, and was nominated as a candidate for state governor in 1874 (Scharf 1888:809-810). Pennington had tried to sell in 1872 to Daniel Bratton of Cecil County, Maryland. Bright, however, sued Pennington over the land and the Superior Court of Delaware ordered the sheriff Robert Armstrong to seize the land in May 1873. Prior to the sheriff's sale, Pennington was living on the property with his family and one tenant, Abraham C. Wright. William Bright sold the farm in 1874 to James Gray of nearby St. Georges Hundred. Bright, who lived in Wilmington, probably rented the farm to a tenant.

James Gray clearly owned and occupied Woodville because his name appears at the site on Hopkins' 1881 and Baist's 1893 atlases. The size of the farm remained stable at 142 acres. Gray purchased the farm for \$10,000, the same price paid by Benjamin F. Pennington eight years earlier in 1868. The site was then inhabited by a succession of owners and tenants until early 1980 when the house and outbuildings collapsed from vandalism and neglect.

Based on previous historical and archaeological research, the Woodville Farm site (7NC-E-98) is considered to be eligible for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places. The site has yielded, and is likely to yield, further information on the domestic economy, agriculture, and settlement patterns of southern New Castle County and the surrounding region. Phase II testing of the site found that artifacts and structural remains are intact and the potential for additional features is high.

Further investigations at the Woodville Farm site could address the history of agricultural improvement and decline in the Upper Delmarva Peninsula for the period of early industrialization (1770-1830), the period of industrialization and capitalization (1830-1880), and the period of urbanization and industrialization (1880-1940), as defined by Ames, Herman, and Siders (1989). The Woodville Farm site was affected by the processes of site formation and utilization operating under these historical trends. The data from the site are relevant to current historical and archaeological perspectives on these periods and processes.

Under the temporal contexts defined for historical archaeological sites in Delaware (Ames, Herman, and Siders 1989), Domestic Economy includes all of the historical and economic contexts discussed above (De Cunzo and Catts 1990:16-19, 131-133). Research into the Domestic Economy for the periods 1830-1880 and 1880-1940 in the Upper Peninsula could focus on three interrelated topics at the intra-site and inter-site levels of investigation: architecture and land use, foodways,

TABLE 10
Partial Chain of Title for the Woodville Farm Site (7NC-E-98)

Transactions	Acres	Date	References
From Evan and Mary Rice to Purnel Veach 1/3 right	142	1 July 1809	H-3-544
From Archibald and Mary Alexander to Purnel Veach 2/3 right	142	28 December 1807	V-3-345
From William P. Veach to John L. Shuster	142	20 November 1832	P-4-488
From Purnel Veach to son William P. Veach	142	21 April 1829	N-1-263
From John L. Shuster to John H. Fromberger	142	7 February 1837	X-4-254
From John H. Fromberger to Ashbury L. Pennington	142	14 April 1838	A-5-284
From Ashbury L. Pennington to sons Louis, Albert, and Benjamin Pennington	142	-----	R-8-131
From Albert R. and Louis E. Pennington to Benjamin F. Pennington	142	11 April 1868	R-8-131
From Sheriff Robert L. Armstrong (for B.F. Pennington) to William Bright	142	16 October 1873	C-10-469
From William Bright to James Gray	142	20 March 1874	O-16-469

References are to New Castle County deed numbers. The Purnel Veach to son William Veach transaction is recorded in the Orphan's Court records.

and self-sufficiency and market participation. The owner-occupations of the site could be compared to contemporary tenant-occupations at other sites in the area. Such comparisons could be used to identify specific owner vs. tenant patterns in site layout and spatial utilization, foodways, and trash disposal patterns.

On an intra-site level, investigations at the Woodville Farm site can examine changes over both time and space in internal site layout, the social status of the tenant- and owner-occupants, and the effects of changing consumer choices and dietary patterns on those occupants as reflected in the archaeological record. For example, the precise identity and socio-economic status of the

tenants of the site are not known. Were the lives of the tenants substantially different than those of subsequent owners? What is the relationship between changes in the local and regional economy and the various tenant and owner occupations? Did tenants, because they did not own the land, utilize and maintain the property in the same way as the later owners who named the farm and carefully improved it? These questions may be answerable through historical documentation, including probate records, tax lists, and census records, as well as through the archaeological remains. Moreover, data concerning dietary patterns, spatial patterns, and artifact classes are present at the site. These patterns can be examined as reflections of the social status and lifeways of the site's inhabitants over time.

The archaeological context of Domestic Economy is also relevant on a local and regional level, including the larger historical context of agriculture (Ames, Herman, and Siders 1989). Through artifact analysis and historical research the Woodville Farm site can be compared to several other local archaeological sites. Specifically, the Woodville Farm site could be compared to a number of lower socio-economic status tenant archaeological sites recently investigated in Dover and Little Creek hundreds (Grettlar, Bachman, and Custer 1991, Grettlar et al. 1991), particularly, the W. Eager House site (7K-C-383) and the Wilson-Lewis site (7K-C-375). Data on the tenant occupation of the Woodville Farm site could also be compared to similar data from owner-occupied sites in Kent County, particularly the Moore-Taylor site (7K-C-380) and the Buchanan-Savin Farm (7NC-J-175) (Grettlar, Bachman, and Custer 1991). Other sites which can be compared include several domestic occupations from the nineteenth and twentieth centuries located throughout the state (Catts and Custer 1990; Catts, Hodny, and Custer 1989; Coleman et al. 1983; Hoseth et al. 1990; and Heite and Heite 1985). Such comparative studies offer the potential for significant information on site layout, social status, ethnicity, and consumerism.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

SUMMARY

Phase II excavations at nine sites have clarified their potential for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places. The findings are summarized in Table 11. Four small prehistoric sites, Conrail South A, Conrail South B, Weaver, and Dragon Run North A (7NC-E-92, 7NC-E-93, 7NC-G-102, and 7NC-G-103), are not significant. Two larger prehistoric sites, Parkway Gravel and Dragon Run North B (7NC-G-100 and 7NC-G-104), yielded much more cultural material and also important information, but were not deemed eligible for the National Register because of the poorly-preserved contexts. Two prehistoric sites, Wrangle Hill South and Snapp (7NC-G-101 and 7NC-G-105), and one historical site, Woodville Farm (7NC-E-98), yielded evidence of intact features and important cultural information. The sites are eligible for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places and further research is recommended. Preservation of these three eligible sites is the preferred mitigation alternative. However, if this